

## webserver@yucca-web.ymp.gov on 12/06/2007 11:13:24 AM

To:	EIS_Comments@ymp.gov	
cc: Subject:	EIS Comment	User Filed as: Not Categorized in ERM
Decemb	per 06, 2007 11:13:24	
	dress: 71.105.196.81	
The Co	ommentors Name: Mrs. Wilma A Wheeler	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
~> ]	ommentors Address: P.O. Box 3208 Mammoth Lakes, California 93546	
Email> 1	Information: wilma.bryce@verizon.net Add commentor to the mailing list : yes	
Contaction :	ct Information: fax number: phone number: organization: position:	

## Comment Text :

--> There are many concerns about this project that have not been addressed.

The containers have not been built and there is no assurance that they will hold nuclear waste for the thousands of years required. In fact, there are no specifications for such containers.

There is no plan in place for emergency response in the event of an accident during transportation of nuclear materials.

There is not enough information on how the storage of nuclear waste wll affect the groundwater supply not only in Nevada but also in Inyo County and Death Valley National Park.

It is recognized that there will be deaths from cancer. There is no information on how many cancer cases there are now and how many new cancer cases will occur because of this nuclear storage at Yucca Mountain.

There is always an increased risk of terrorism particular when nuclear waste is being transported across the country on our highways.

With no new nuclear plants the requirement for storage of waste would be reduced. I believe we must not build new plants until we know how to safely store nuclear waste. We certainly need to take this into consideration.

I	belie	eve	the	bes	t way	y to	sto	re the	wast	e is	on	the	site	whe	re it	: is	
ge	enerat	ed.	Tha	at w	ay, :	small	ler a	amount	s are	sto	red	at	each	site	and	thus	the
đa	nger	of	a h	ige :	stoc	kpile	of	nucle	ar wa	ste :	in o	one	site	is d	imini	ished.	

One way to reduce the risk